## **REMARKS**

The Office Action dated January 9, 2007 has been read and carefully considered and the present amendment submitted to clarify the claim language to better define the invention.

In that Office Action, claims 8, 9 and 13-16 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as begin indefinite. As such, claim 13 has been amended to correct the spelling of the word "against" and claim 8 has been amended to specifically refer to the "fixed component" of claim 2.

Claims 1-23 were further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being directed to nonstatutory subject matter and the Applicant has inserted the "adapted to be" language helpfully suggested by the Examiner.

Finally, claim 1 was rejected on the grounds of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting over claim 1 of U.S. Patent 6,953,427 and, to that end, Applicant submits, herewith a terminal disclaimer to overcome that rejection.

It is, therefore, submitted that the claims in the present application are in allowable form and an allowance of the present application is respectfully solicited.

13 Margarita Court Hilton Head Island, SC 29926 Respectfully submitted.

Roger M. Rathbun, 'Alegn. No. 24,964 Attorney for Applicants

(843) 682-2501